Abstract. Long non-coding (Inc)RNA sprouty receptor tyrosine kinase signalling antagonist 4-intronic transcript 1 (SPRY4-IT1) has been demonstrated to serve a critical role in the tumorigenesis of osteosarcoma (OS); however, the specific underlying mechanism remains unclear. The aim of the present study was to examine the interactions between SPRY4-IT1 and its downstream effectors, to determine if any of the interactions contributed to SPRY4-IT1-mediated proliferation, migration and invasion in cancer cells. A signalling cascade which involved SPRY4-IT1, miR-101 and zinc finger E-box-binding homeoboxes (ZEBs) was examined in the present study. Intracellular SPRY4-IT1 and miR-101 expression levels were altered through transfection to assess their effect on proliferation, cell cycle progression, survival, migration and invasion. A dual-luciferase assay was utilized to determine the association between SPRY4-IT1/miR-101 and ZEBs/miR-101. The results indicated that the SPRY4-IT1 levels were negatively associated with miR-101 expression levels in OS cells, an association which was not observed in the normal osteoblast cells. SPRY4-IT1 knockdown or miR-101 overexpression reduced proliferation, cell cycle progression, survival, migration and invasion of MG-63 and U2OS cells. SPRY4-IT1 knockdown was accompanied by increased expression of miR-101 and E-cadherin levels, as well as decreased expression levels of ZEB1/2 and other epithelial-mesenchymal transition-associated proteins. Simultaneous knockdown of SPRY4-IT1 and inhibition of miR-101 partially reversed the anti-tumour effects of SPRY4-IT1 inhibition in vitro. Consistent with these findings, short hairpin RNA targeting SPRY4-IT1 also hindered xenograft tumour growth and altered the levels of miR-101, ZEB1/2 and E-cadherin in vivo. Dual-luciferase reporter assays demonstrated that SPRY4-IT1 may have regulated the expression of ZEB1 and ZEB2 by sponging miR-101. In conclusion, SPRY4-IT1 inhibition increased miR-101 levels, resulting in downregulation of ZEB1/2 expression and thus exerting anti-tumour effects in OS.

Introduction

It has been reported that over 80% of osteosarcoma (OS) patients would die of metastases if they did not receive chemotherapy (1). Although multimodal treatment approaches have improved overall survival, survival rates are still far from satisfactory. Currently, the 5-year overall survival rate of patients with OS is ~60%, even if patients received intensive chemotherapy (2). Therefore, uncovering the underlying mechanisms of tumorigenesis of OS may assist in the development of improved treatments and targeting genes that promote progression of OS may hold potential for improving the efficiency of treatments in the future.

Epithelial-mesenchymal transition (EMT) is crucial for progression of OS (3). Whilst effectors of EMT, such as E-cadherin, N-cadherin, vimentin, fibronectin, matrix metalloproteinase (MMP)-2 and MMP-9 have been extensively studied (4), the identities of the upstream modulators of these markers are less clear. Zinc finger E-box-binding homeobox (ZEB) family members are candidates for the regulation of EMT. ZEBs have been associated with cancer development and poor prognosis (5). Increased expression levels of ZEB1 transcriptionally represses the expression of E-cadherin (6), which in turn, favours migration of cancer cells (3). Furthermore, by interacting with the Wnt pathway or p73 proteins, ZEBs can also promote cell cycle progression and cell survival in different types of cancer, including colon, lung and pancreatic.
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cancer (7). In this regard, investigating negative regulators of ZEB family members may provide additional opportunities for preventing or reversing EMT and serve as an alternative anti-tumour therapy.

Emerging evidence has shown that non-coding RNAs including long non-coding RNAs (lncRNAs; RNA transcripts >200 bp in length) and microRNAs (miRNAs; RNA transcripts ~22 bp in length) are intrinsically involved in regulation of protein expression at all levels of the protein production process (8,9). Transcriptional studies have demonstrated multiple series of dysregulated miRNAs in various tumours, including OS (10,11). miRNAs directly bind to protein-coding mRNAs and prevent them from being translated into proteins, and during the pathogenesis of OS this mechanism is used to suppress expression tumour suppressor genes (12). In the field of lncRNAs, the mechanisms of regulation of expression of genes is more complicated and diverse. Through binding to chromatin, mRNAs or proteins, IncRNAs can decrease or increase the expression of protein-coding genes and have been reported to be involved in almost all aspects of OS (13). Previous studies on the crossstalk between IncRNAs and miRNAs have revealed a new mechanism of protein-coding gene modulation (14-16). LncRNAs can act as competing endogenous RNAs (ceRNAs) and de-repress (increase) the expression of protein-coding genes by sequestering miRNAs (17). CeRNA-dependent regulation, therefore, represents a novel mechanism for the upregulation of oncogenes.

Sprouty receptor tyrosine kinase signalling antagonist 4-intronic transcript 1 (SPRY4-IT1) is a lncRNA that has been associated with several types of cancer, including OS (18). Overexpression of SPRY4-IT1 in OS cells promoted proliferation, survival and migration; however, the downstream effectors of SPRY4-IT1 remain unknown (19). As ZEBs promote tumour development, it was hypothesized that SPRY4-IT1 may function by regulating ZEB expression or function. miR-101, a tumour suppressor gene, was reported to suppress EMT by targeting ZEB1 and ZEB2 in ovarian carcinoma (20). Furthermore, SPRY4-IT1 has been shown to promote the proliferation and migration of bladder cancer cells by sponging miR-101 (21). However, the association between SPRY4-IT1 and miR-101 and the functional interaction between miR-101 and ZEB1/ZEB2 have not been explored in OS. The hypothesis of the present study was that SPRY4-IT1 acts as a ceRNA to sequester miR-101, resulting in an increase in the levels of ZEB1/2 proteins, which in turn promoted the proliferation, migration and invasion of OS cells.

In the present study, the role of SPRY4-IT1 in the tumorigenesis of OS was investigated. The aim of the present study was to explore a miR-101 and ZEB1/ZEB2 axis as a potential downstream effector of SPRY4-IT1 involved in proliferation, survival, migration and invasion in OS. The present study may provide insights into a novel strategy for treatment of OS.

**Materials and methods**

**Cell culture.** The human normal osteoblast cell line hFOB 1.19 (ATCC® CRL-11372™), the OS cell lines U2OS (ATCC® HTB-96™), MG-63 (ATCC® CRL-1427™) and Saos-2 cells (ATCC® HTB-85™), and normal human 293 cells (ATCC® CRL-1573™) were obtained from ATCC. All cells were maintained in DMEM (Gibco; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.) supplemented with 10% heat-inactivated FBS (Gibco; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.) and 1% penicillin-streptomycin solution (Sigma-Aldrich; Merck KGaA) in a humidified incubator with 5% CO₂ at 37°C.

**Transfection.** miRNA mimics, inhibitor, scrambled control oligos, pGPH1 plasmid containing short hairpin (sh)RNA targeting SPRY4-IT1 and pGPH1 plasmid containing scrambled control shRNA were purchased from Shanghai GenePharma Co., Ltd. For transfection of miRNAs, MG-63 or U2OS cells were seeded into 6-well plates and cultured with supplemented media overnight. When the confluenct of cells reached ~80%, the medium was replaced with DMEM without serum. A total of 25 pmol miR-101 mimics/inhibitor or its scrambled control (NC) was mixed with 7.5 µl Lipofectamine® RNAiMAX reagent (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.) and added to the cells. For RNA interference studies, 1 mg pGPH1 plasmid and 3 ml Lipofectamine® 2000 (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.) were mixed and added to MG-63 or U2OS cells. Cells were cultured with the transfection reagent and plasmid for 48 h before subsequent assays were performed unless otherwise stated.

**Cell proliferation assays.** Cell proliferation was evaluated using MTT and colony formation assays. For the MTT assay, MG-63 or U2OS cells were seeded into 96-well plates at a density of 5x10³ cells/well and transfected with the miR-101 mimics, inhibitor or shSPRY4-IT1 for 24, 48, 72 or 96 h. After the indicated amount of time, 10 µl MTT solution (Sigma-Aldrich; Merck KGaA) in PBS (5 mg/ml) was added to each well and incubated in a cell culture incubator for 3 h. The supernatant was removed carefully, and the formazan crystals were dissolved in 100 µl DMSO (Sigma-Aldrich; Merck KGaA). The cell viability in each well was determined by measuring the optical density at 490 nm.

For the colony formation assay, MG-63 or U2OS cells were seeded and transfected with mRNA mimics, inhibitors or shSPRY4-IT1. Cells were then re-seeded in 6-well plates at a density of 500 cells/well and allowed to grow for an additional 15 days. Cells were then fixed with 100% methanol for 10 min at -20°C and stained with 0.5% crystal violet (in 25% methanol) for 10 min at room temperature. The colonies were counted by two researchers who were blinded to the experimental conditions.

**Cell apoptosis analysis.** MG-63 or U2OS cells were seeded in 12-well plates at a density of 1x10³ cells/well. After transfection, the cells were trypsinized and harvested for staining using an Annexin V-fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC)/propidium iodide (PI) Detection kit (Sigma-Aldrich; Merck KGaA) according to the manufacturer's protocol. Cells were analysed by flow cytometry (Becton, Dickinson and company). The FITC+/PI and FITC+/PI- fractions were considered early and late apoptotic cells, respectively. Analysis of flow data was performed using FlowJo version X.10.0.7-1 (FlowJo LLC).
Assessment of cell cycle progression. Cell cycle progression was evaluated using flow cytometry. For flow cytometry, after transfection as described above, MG-63 or U2OS cells were trypsinized and harvested and fixed with ice-cold 70% ethanol overnight at -20°C. Subsequently, the cells were incubated with PBS solution containing PI (50 µg/ml) and RNase A (30 µg/ml) for 15 min at 37°C. Cell cycle distribution was analysed by flow cytometry (Becton, Dickinson and Company).

Cell migration and invasion assays. Cell migration and invasion were evaluated using a wound healing assay and Transwell invasion assay, respectively. For the wound healing assay, MG-63 or U2OS cells were seeded onto 12-well plates and transfected as described above. After 48 h of transfection, a wound was created by scratching the cell monolayer with a 1 ml pipette tip. Cells were then washed with PBS three times and incubated for an additional 24 h in serum-free culture medium. Distances were measured and analysed by ImageJ software (NIH, US). Relative wound closure was measured using the following formula: (W₀ - Wₜₚ)/(W₀ x 100%); where W is the width.

Matrigel-coated Transwell inserts or uncoated inserts with 5-µm pores were used (Corning, Corning, NY, USA) in a 24 well plate for Transwell invasion and migration assays, respectively. MG-63 or U2OS cells were transfected as described above and subsequently 5x10⁴ cells were added to the top chamber of the inserts with 400 µl serum-free culture medium. The bottom compartments were filled with DMEM containing 20% FBS as a chemoattractant. After 24 h of culture, the inserts were collected, the non-invading or non-migrating cells on the upper surface were removed with a cotton swab, and the invaded or migrated cells on the lower surface were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde for 10 min at room temperature and stained with crystal violet for 10 min at room temperature according to manufacturer’s protocol (Sigma-Aldrich; Merck KGaA). The invaded or migrated cells were counted under an inverted light microscope with a x100 magnification (Carl Zeiss AG) by researchers who were blinded to the experimental conditions.

Dual-luciferase reporter assay. The predicted miR-101 binding sites on SPRY4-IT1 were predicted using RNAInter website (rna-society.org/raid/search.html). The predicted miR-101 binding sites on ZEB1 or ZEB2 were predicted using starBase (starbase.sysu.edu.cn/index.php). Dual-luciferase reporter assays were performed to determine whether miR-101 was targeted by SPRY4-IT1 and the 3′ untranslated region (UTR) ZEB1/2. To confirm the interaction between miR-101 and SPRY4-IT1, SPRY4-IT1 gene fragments containing a predicted miR-101 binding site or corresponding mutant fragments were cloned into pGL3-basic vectors (Promega Corporation). miR-101 mimics or inhibitor were used for miR-101 overexpression or knockdown, respectively. A pRL Renilla Luciferase vector (Promega Corporation) was used as the control plasmid. During transfection, miR-101 mimics or inhibitor and pGL3-basic vectors were co-transfected into 293T cells with Lipofectamine® 2000 (Thermo Fisher Scientific, San Jose, CA, USA). Scrambled oligos were used as the control. After transfection for 48 h, firefly luciferase activity was determined and adjusted based on the Renilla luminescence activity according to the manufacturer’s protocol (Promega Corporation).

For the 3UTR assay, oligos containing putative miR-101 binding sites were cloned from the ZEB1 or ZEB2 3’UTR and inserted into pmir-GLO vectors (Promega Corporation). The ZEB1 and ZEB2 3’UTR, with the predicted microRNA seed binding regions mutated were generated through site-directed mutagenesis kit (Promega Corporation). The primer sequence for mutation of ZEB1 3’UTR was 5’-TAAAATGGTTGC ACAG-3’. The primer sequence for mutation of ZEB2 3’UTR was 5’-CTTTGAATAAATATAAT-3’. During transfection, miR-101 mimics or inhibitor and pmir-GLO vectors were co-transfected into 293T cells with Lipofectamine® 2000. After transfection for 48 h, relative luciferase activity was measured.

Establishment of stable cell lines. MG-63 or U2OS cells were transfected with shNC (scramble control) or shSPRY4-IT1 plasmids. Transfected cells were selected for using 400 mg/ml Geneticin (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.). Stably transfected cells were maintained in culture medium containing 100 mg/ml Geneticin and then used in the nude mouse xenograft study.

Xenograft tumour model. BALB/c nude mice were purchased from Shanghai SLAC Laboratory Animal Center (Shanghai, China). The nude mouse study was approved by the Animal Ethics Committee at the Third Affiliated Hospital of Sun Yat-sen University (Guangzhou, China). A total of 24 nude mice were randomly assigned to 4 groups (n=6/group): MG-63/shNC (control), MG-63/shSPRY4-IT1 (treatment), U2OS/shNC (control) and U2OS/shSPRY4-IT1 (treatment). A total of 1x10⁶ cells/100 ml MG-63 or U2OS cells, which were stably transfected with shNC or shSPRY4-IT1, were inoculated subcutaneously in the nude mice. The xenograft tumour size was measured every 5 days using a Vernier scale. After 30 days, the mice were sacrificed by cervical dislocation. The tumour tissues were harvested for volume and weight measurement, RT-qPCR and western blot analysis.

RNA isolation and reverse transcription-quantitative (RT-q) PCR. Total RNA from xenograft tumours and cells was extracted using TRIZol® reagent (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. Briefly, tumours or cells were dissolved in 1 ml TRIZol®, followed by total RNA extraction with 200 µl chloroform and RNA precipitation with 500 µl isopropanol. cDNA was synthesized from 1 µg total RNA using the PrimeScript RT reagent kit (Takara Bio, Inc.). Reverse transcription as performed as follows: 25°C for 5 min, 37°C for 30 min and 85°C for 5 sec. cDNA was diluted 20-fold with ddH₂O and used for qPCR with SYBR Premix Ex Taq kit (Takara Bio, Inc.) in an ABI 7500HT real-time PCR system (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.). The thermocycling conditions were as follows: Initial denaturation, 95°C for 5 sec; followed by 35 cycles of denaturation at 94°C for 15 sec, annealing at 55°C for 25 sec and extension at 70°C for 30 sec. The gene levels for all samples were normalized to U6 small nuclear (sn)RNA (for miRNA) or GAPDH levels using the 2⁻ΔΔCq method (22).

Western blotting. Total protein was extracted with cell lysis buffer (50 mM Tris, 150 mM NaCl, 1% NP-40, 1 mM EDTA, pH 7.6) containing a cocktail of protease inhibitors (Thermo
SPRY4-IT1 silencing and miR-101 overexpression inhibits growth of OS cells. shSPRY4-IT1 or miR-101 mimic transfection was used to study the molecular functions of SPRY4-IT1 and miR-101, respectively. MTT and colony formation assays were first performed to investigate the effects of SPRY4-IT1 and miR-101 on cell growth. When compared with the scrambled control group, knockdown of SPRY4-IT1 or overexpression of miR-101 significantly reduced the growth of both MG-63 and U2OS cells in a time-dependent manner (Fig. 2A). Consistent with these results, colony formation assays revealed that SPRY4-IT1 knockdown or miR-101 overexpression both significantly reduced the number of colonies in MG-63 and U2OS cells (Fig. 2B and C). Furthermore, as shown in Fig. 2D and E, shSPRY4-IT1 transfection resulted in a significant increase in the apoptotic ratio of MG-63 (shSPRY4-IT1, 30.51%; shNC, 8.56%) and U2OS (shSPRY4-IT1, 24.61%; shNC, 7.39%) cells (both \(P<0.01\)). Similarly, overexpression of miR-101 also greatly induced apoptosis in MG-63 (miR-101 mimics, 26.52%; miR-101 NC, 6.96%; \(P<0.05\)) and U2OS (miR-101 mimics, 31.43%; miR-NC 7.65%; \(P<0.01\)) cells. The effects of SPRY4-IT1 knockdown or miR-101 overexpression on cell cycle progression of OS cells was assessed. shSPRY4-IT1 treatment of MG-63 and U2OS cells resulted in a significant accumulation of cells in the G1 phase, suggesting G1 phase arrest by SPRY4-IT1 knockdown (Fig. 2F and G). miR-101 mimic transfection resulted in S phase cell cycle arrest (Fig. 2F and G). Together, these results demonstrate that SPRY4-IT1 and miR-101 are involved in the growth of OS cells at least partially by modulating proliferation, apoptosis and cell cycle progression.

SPRY4-IT1 downregulation or miR-101 overexpression attenuates migration and invasion of OS cells. Increased cell migration and invasion have been identified as features of cancer metastasis, which is one of the hallmarks of cancer (23). The oncogenic function of SPRY4-IT1 and tumour suppressor function of miR-101 on cell migration and invasion were further explored. After 24 h, SPRY4-IT1 downregulation or miR-101 induction significantly inhibited wound closure in both MG-63 and U2OS cells, suggesting that the migratory ability was reduced (Fig. 3A and B). A Transwell assay was also performed to evaluate the effect of SPRY4-IT1 and miR-101 on the migratory and invasive capacities of OS cells. shSPRY4-IT1 transfection significantly reduced the number of migrated or invaded MG-63 and U2OS cells (Fig. 3C-F). Similarly, overexpression of miR-101 also significantly reduced the migration or invasion of MG-63 and U2OS cells (Fig. 3C-F). Taken together, our results suggested that SPRY4-IT1 promoted cell migration and invasion, whereas miR-101 functioned as a negative regulator of these properties in OS cells.

SPRY4-IT1 and miR-101 modulate the expression of ZEB1 and ZEB2 in OS cells. Previously, it was reported that miR-101 may regulate the expression of both ZEB1 and ZEB2, both of which have been demonstrated to serve important roles in invasion and metastasis of lung and ovarian carcinoma (20,24). Therefore, the effects of SPRY4-IT1 and miR-101 on regulation of ZEB1 and ZEB2 expression were determined. qPCR analysis showed that transfection of shSPRY4-IT1 or miR-101 mimics was sufficient to significantly reduce the mRNA expression levels of ZEB1 and ZEB2 in both MG-63 and U2OS cells (Fig. 4A-D). Western blotting also showed that SPRY4-IT1 knockdown and miR-101 overexpression...
significantly decreased the protein expression levels of ZEB1 and ZEB2 (Fig. 4E-H). These findings suggest that SPRY4-IT1 and miR-101 may modulate OS tumorigenesis by regulating the expression of ZEB1 and ZEB2.

SPRY4-IT1 sponges miR-101 to regulate the expression of ZEB1 and ZEB2. An increasing number of studies have revealed that lncRNAs may sponge miRNAs and thus disinhibit the target genes of these miRNAs (25). To investigate the possibility of a SPRY4-IT1/miR-101/ZEB axis, the functional interactions between SPRY4-IT1 and miR-101, as well as miR-101 and ZEBs, were explored. RNAInter identified a putative miR-101 binding sequence located in SPRY4-IT1 (Fig. 5A). Compared with the scrambled control, miR-101 mimics significantly reduced SPRY4-IT1-WT-mediated relative luciferase activity, whereas transfection with miR-101 inhibitor increased luciferase activity (Fig. 5B). Mutagenesis of the SPRY4-IT1 fragment (SPRY4-IT1-MUT) completely abolished the effects of the miR-101 mimics and inhibitor. These results suggest that SPRY4-IT1 may directly interact with miR-101 in OS cells.

Furthermore, bioinformatics analysis using starBase identified a binding sequence for miR-101 in the ZEB1 3’UTR (Fig. 5C). In addition, ZEB2 3’UTR was also predicted to contain a 6 bp binding site for miR-101 (Fig. 5E). Dual-luciferase assays showed that the relative luciferase activities were significantly downregulated in the ZEB1-WT and ZEB2-WT groups by miR-101 mimic transfection (Fig. 5D and F). In contrast, the miR-101 inhibitor increased the relative luciferase activity in the ZEB1-WT and ZEB2-WT groups (Fig. 5D and F). Mutagenesis of the seed binding sequences completely eliminated the changes induced by miR-101 mimics and inhibitor (Fig. 5D and F). These findings confirmed that miR-101 could directly target ZEB1 and ZEB2 in OS cells and together these data suggest that SPRY4-IT1 may sponge miR-101 and thus disinhibit the expression of ZEB1/2. The proposed SPRY4-IT1/miR-101/ZEB axis represents a novel axis which may modulate tumorigenesis of OS.

SPRY4-IT1 knockdown inhibits cell growth through upregulation of miR-101 in OS. As MG-63 cells exhibited the highest expression levels of SPRY4-IT1 in the OS cells assessed, subsequent experiments on the SPRY4-IT1/miR-101/ZEBs axis was performed in MG-63 cells. In the present study, the effects of miR-101 inhibitor on cell growth induced by SPRY4-IT1 knockdown wanted to be determined. Therefore, shSPRY4-IT1 transfection alone group is an essential control for this experiment, even though it had already been performed in Fig. 4. Thus, this transfection was repeated in Fig. shSPRY4-IT1 transfection significantly reduced the expression of SPRY4-IT1 and increased miR-101 levels (Fig. 4, and 6A and B). Although the miR-101 inhibitor had no effect on SPRY4-IT1 expression, transfection of shSPRY4-IT1 with the inhibitors significantly reversed the
Figure 2. SPRY4-IT1 silencing or miR-101 overexpression reduces OS cell growth. (A) SPRY4-IT1 knockdown or miR-101 overexpression significantly decreased proliferation of MG-63 and U2OS cells as shown using an MTT assay. (B and C) SPRY4-IT1 knockdown or miR-101 overexpression significantly reduced colony formation in MG-63 and U2OS cells. Data are presented as the mean ± standard deviation of three independent experiments. *P<0.05, **P<0.01.
miR-101 upregulation induced by SPRY4-IT1 knockdown (Fig. 6A and B). Consistent with Fig. 4E-H, shSPRY4-IT1 reduced the protein levels of both ZEB1 and ZEB2 (Fig. 6C-D). However, these effects were partially reversed by co-transfection with miR-101 inhibitors (Fig. 6C and D). Knockdown of SPRY4-IT1 significantly reduced cell growth and co-transfection with miR-101 inhibitor partially reversed the inhibitory effects of shSPRY4-IT1 on the colony formation of OS cells. Finally, an apoptosis assay also revealed that the increase in apoptosis induced by SPRY4-IT1 knockdown was also reversed by co-transfection with the miR-101 inhibitor (Fig. 6H and I). Overall, SPRY4-IT1 knockdown inhibited cell growth and promoted cell apoptosis through upregulation of miR-101. Inhibition of miR-101 in the shSPRY4-IT1 transfected cells abolished the anticancer effects of SPRY4-IT1 knockdown. These results suggested that miR-101 may be a direct downstream effector of SPRY4-IT1.

miR-101 inhibition reverses the shSPRY4-IT1-mediated suppression of cell migration and invasion. As miR-101 inhibition in the shSPRY4-IT1 transfected cells reversed the effects SPRY4-IT1 knockdown on ZEB expression, colony formation and apoptosis, the effects on migration and invasion were determined using the co-transfected cells. Transfection of shSPRY4-IT1 treatment reduced wound closure, whereas inhibition of miR-101 alone promoted wound closure. Co-transfection of the miR-101 inhibitor reversed the inhibitory effects of shSPRY4-IT1 on wound closure (Fig. 7A and B). In the Transwell invasion assay, SPRY4-IT1 knockdown significantly reduced the number of invaded cells and inhibition of miR-101 in the SPRY4-IT1 knockdown cells partially restored the invasive capacity of cells (Fig. 7C and 7D). These data
suggest that SPRY4-IT1 may alter the migratory and invasive capacities of OS cells by regulating miR-101 expression.

EMT serves a critical role in cell migration and invasion (26,27), and E-cadherin has been reported to reduce cell migration in a number of different types of cancer (26). ZEB1/2 increase migration and invasion by transcriptionally repressing E-cadherin expression (28). As shown in Fig. 7E and F, SPRY4-IT1 knockdown significantly increased the protein expression levels of E-cadherin. In contrast, transfection of miR-101 inhibitor alone was sufficient to decrease E-cadherin protein expression levels. Uregulation of E-cadherin by shSPRY4-IT1 was partially reversed by co-transfection with the miR-101 inhibitor. The expression levels of vimentin, fibronectin, N-cadherin, MMP-2 and MMP-9, proteins which may promote cell migration and invasion, were also detected. SPRY4-IT1 knockdown reduced the expression of all these proteins.

Figure 2. Continued. SPRY4-IT1 silencing or miR-101 overexpression reduces OS cell growth. (F and G) SPRY4-IT1 knockdown or miR-101 overexpression resulted in significant arrest of the cell cycle in MG-63 and U2OS cells. Data are presented as the mean ± standard deviation of three independent experiments. *P<0.05, **P<0.01. SPRY4-IT1, sprouty receptor tyrosine kinase signalling antagonist 4-intronic transcript 1; miR, microRNA; OS, osteosarcoma; sh, short hairpin; NC, negative control; OD, optical density; PI, propidium iodide.
proteins, whereas miR-101 inhibition increased their expression. Inhibition of miR-101 in the shSPRY4-IT1 cells was sufficient to reverse the changes in the expression of all these proteins. Based on these results, it was hypothesized that SPRY4-IT1 promoted cell migration and invasion by sponging miR-101, which subsequently disinhibited ZEB1/2, leading to the upregulation of the EMT-associated proteins.

SPRY4-IT1 knockdown decreases tumour growth in vivo. The anti-tumour function of SPRY4-IT1 knockdown was sufficient to reverse changes in the expression of all these proteins. Based on these results, it was hypothesized that SPRY4-IT1 promoted cell migration and invasion by sponging miR-101, which subsequently disinhibited ZEB1/2, leading to the upregulation of the EMT-associated proteins.

SPRY4-IT1 knockdown or miR-101 overexpression reduces the migratory and invasive capacity of OS cells. (A and B) SPRY4-IT1 knockdown or miR-101 overexpression significantly reduced the migratory rate of MG-63 and U2OS cells in the wound healing assay. SPRY4-IT1 knockdown or miR-101 overexpression reduced Transwell migration and invasion of (C and D) MG-63 and (E and F) U2OS cells. Data are presented as the mean ± standard deviation of three independent experiments. *P<0.05, **P<0.01. SPRY4-IT1, sprouty receptor tyrosine kinase signalling antagonist 4-intronic transcript 1; miR, microRNA; OS, osteosarcoma; sh, short hairpin; NC, negative control.

Figure 3. SPRY4-IT1 knockdown or miR-101 overexpression reduces the migratory and invasive capacity of OS cells.
YAO et al: SPRY4-IT1/miR-101/ZEBS AXIS IN OSTEOSARCOMA

Discussion

OS, the most frequent type of malignant bone tumour, often afflicted children and accounts for 2.4% of all malignancies and ~20% of all types of primary bone cancer in paediatric patients (1,2). Despite considerable advances in treatment and diagnosis, the survival rates of patients with OS remains poor, and the rate of recurrence is as high as 30-50% for patients with initial localized disease (29). In the present study, SPRY4-IT1 was determined to function as a ceRNA which regulated the expression of the oncogenes ZEB1 and ZEB2 by competing for miR-101 binding in OS cells. Inhibition of SPRY4-IT1 restored the expression of miR-101, which then inhibited cell growth, migration and invasion by regulating the levels of ZEB1 and ZEB2 in OS cells.

Previous studies have highlighted the diagnostic and/or prognostic values of lncRNAs in various types of cancer (30,31). Non-coding RNAs, including lncRNAs and miRNAs, have been frequently reported to be differentially expressed in the cancer tissues of patients (30,31). Recently, it was reported that the serum levels of miR-101 were significantly lower in patients with OS compared with the healthy controls (32). Therefore, miR-101 may represent a potentially useful biomarker for predicting the survival of patients with OS. Consistent with the previous study, miR-101 expression was demonstrated to be significantly downregulated in three OS cell lines compared with the normal osteoblast cell line in the present study. Expression of SPRY4-IT1, which was recently demonstrated to modulate miR-101 in cholangiocarcinoma (33), was upregulated in OS cells compared with the normal cells. These results suggest that a functional interaction between SPRY4-IT1 and miR-101 may be observed during the pathogenesis of OS. Indeed, knockdown of SPRY4-IT1 was
su f fic i e nt  t o  r e s t o r e  m i R‑101  l e v e l s  i n  OS  c e l l s.  H o w e v e r,  o v e r e x p r e s s i o n  o f  m i R‑101  d i d  n o t  a f f e c t  S P R Y 4‑I T 1  l e v e l s,  i m p l i m i ng  t h a t  S P R Y 4‑I T 1  w a s  t h e  u p s t r e a m  m o d u l a t o r  o f  m i R‑101.  F u r t h e r m o r e,  i n  s i l i c o  a n a l y s i s  i n d i c a t e d  t h a t  S P R Y 4‑I T 1  m a y  b i n d  t o  a  c o m p l e m e n t a r y  s e q u e n c e  i n  m i R‑101.  T h i s  b i n d i n g  w a s  c o n f i r m e d  u s i n g  a  d u a l‑l u c i f e r a s e  a s s a y,  w h i c h  s h o w e d  t h a t  t h e  r e l a t i v e  l u c i f e r a s e  a c t i v i t y  o f  t h e  S P R Y 4‑I T 1‑W T  g r o u p  w a s  r e d u c e d  i n  t h e  p r e s e n c e  o f  m i R‑101  m i m i c s.  F o r t h e r e f o r e,  i t  w a s  p l a s s i b l e  t h a t  S P R Y 4‑I T 1  s p o n g e d  m i R‑101,  r e s u l t i n g  i n  t h e  d i s r u p t i o n  o f  m i R‑101‑m e d i a t e d  t u m o u r  s u p p r e s s i o n  i n  OS.

To t e s t  t h e  h y p o t h e s i s  t h a t  S P R Y 4‑I T 1  a c t e d  a s  a  s p o n g e  o f  m i R‑101,  t h e  e f f e c t  o f  S P R Y 4‑I T 1  a n d  m i R‑101  i n t e r a c t i o n s  o n  c a n c e r  c e l l s  w a s  f u r t h e r  i n v e s t i g a t e d.  s h S P R Y 4‑I T 1  o r  m i R‑101  m i m i c  t r a n s f e c t i o n  w a s  u s e d  t o  s t u d y  t h e  f u n c t i o n a l  e f f e c t s  o f  S P R Y 4‑I T 1  a n d  m i R‑101,  r e s p e c t i v e l y.  K n o c k d o w n  o f  S P R Y 4‑I T 1  a l o n e  w a s  s u f f i c i e n t  t o  d e c r e a s e  c e l l  g r o w t h,  c a u s e  c e l l  c y c l e  a r r e s t  a n d  i n d u c e  a p p o t o s i s  i n  OS  c e l l s.  W o u n d  h e a l i n g  a n d  T r a n s w e l l  a s s a y s  a l s o  s h o w e d  t h a t  s h S P R Y 4‑I T 1  a t t e n u a t e d  c e l l  m i g r a t i o n  a n d  i n v a s i o n.  T h i s  w a s  f u r t h e r  c o n f i r m e d  b y  t h e  u p r e g u l a t i o n  o f  t h e  e p i t h e l i a l  m a r k e r.
Figure 6. SPRY4-IT1 knockdown reduces cell growth through upregulation of miR-101 in MG-63 cells. (A) Transfection of shSPRY4-IT1 significantly decreased SPRY4-IT1 mRNA expression levels, whereas transfection of miR-101 inhibitor did not affect SPRY4-IT1 mRNA expression levels. (B) SPRY4-IT1 knockdown significantly increased, whereas miR-101 inhibitor significantly reduced, miR-101 levels. The increase in miR-101 induced by shSPRY4-IT1 was significantly reversed by simultaneous transfection of miR-101 inhibitors. (C and D) SPRY4-IT1 knockdown significantly increased ZEB1 and ZEB2 protein expression levels. The reduction in ZEB1 and ZEB2 by shSPRY4-IT1 was partially abolished by transfection of miR-101 inhibitor. (E) SPRY4-IT1 knockdown decreased OS cell growth in the MTT assay, and simultaneous transfection with miR-101 inhibitor reversed the effects of shSPRY4-IT1 on cell growth. (F and G) SPRY4-IT1 knockdown significantly decreased, whereas miR-101 inhibitor increased colony formation. Reduced colony formation in cells transfected with shSPRY4-IT1 was partially abolished by simultaneous transfection with miR-101 inhibitor. (H and I) SPRY4-IT1 knockdown significantly increased, whereas miR-101 inhibitor decreased apoptosis. shSPRY4-IT1-induced apoptosis was partially reversed by simultaneous transfection with miR-101 inhibitor. Data are presented as the mean ± standard deviation of three independent experiments. *P<0.05, **P<0.01. SPRY4-IT1, sprouty receptor tyrosine kinase signalling antagonist 4-intronic transcript 1; miR, microRNA; OS, osteosarcoma; sh, short hairpin; NC, negative control; OD, optical density; PI, propidium iodide; ZEB, zinc finger E-box-binding homeoboxes.
E-cadherin and downregulation of the mesenchymal markers vimentin, fibronectin, N-cadherin, MMP-2 and MMP-9 when SPRY4-IT1 was knocked down. Notably, similar anticancer effects were also observed in cells treated with miR-101 mimics, whereas transfection of the miR-101 inhibitor resulted in the opposite outcomes. Through MTT, colony formation, flow cytometry, wound healing and Transwell invasion assays, the effects on cell growth, migration, invasion and cell cycle progression induced by SPRY4-IT1 knockdown were partially abolished when miR-101 was simultaneously inhibited *in vitro*. These results demonstrate that SPRY4-IT1 sequestered miR-101 in OS and that inhibition of SPRY4-IT1 was sufficient to impede growth of OS through restoration of miR-101 function. The results of the present study are in agreement with previous studies which showed that increased SPRY4-IT1 levels could sponge miR-101 and thus confer oncogenic properties, such as proliferation and invasion, on colorectal cancer and cholangiocarcinoma cells (33,34).

Accompanying these findings, both ZEB1 and ZEB2 mRNA and protein expression levels were reduced by SPRY4-IT1 knockdown or miR-101 overexpression. The effects of shSPRY4-IT1 on ZEB expression were also partially attenuated.

Figure 7. miR-101 inhibitor reverses the shSPRY4-IT1-mediated suppression of cell migration and invasion in MG-63 cells. (A and B) SPRY4-IT1 knockdown significantly delayed wound closure, whereas miR-101 inhibitor increased wound closure. The shSPRY4-IT1-induced decrease in migratory rate was partially reversed by miR-101 inhibitor. (C and D) SPRY4-IT1 knockdown significantly reduced cell invasion, whereas miR-101 inhibitor increased cell invasion. miR-101 expression in SPRY4-IT1 knockdown cells reversed the effects of SPRY4-IT1 knockdown on cell invasion. (E and F) shSPRY4-IT1 increased E-cadherin protein expression levels, whereas vimentin, fibronectin, N-cadherin, MMP-2 and MMP-9 levels were decreased by SPRY4-IT1 knockdown, whereas cells transfected with miR-101 inhibitors exhibited the opposite changes in protein expression levels of epithelial-mesenchymal transition-associated proteins. Transfection of miR-101 inhibitor reversed the effects of shSPRY4-IT1 transfection. Data are presented as the mean ± standard deviation of three independent experiments. *P<0.05, **P<0.01. SPRY4-IT1, sprouty receptor tyrosine kinase signalling antagonist 4-intronic transcript 1; miR, microRNA; sh, short hairpin; NC, negative control; MMP, matrix metalloproteinase.
Figure 8. SPRY4-IT1 knockdown exhibits anti-tumour effects in a xenograft mouse model of OS. (A) Xenograft tumours of OS cell lines stably expressing shNC or shSPRY4-IT1. (B) SPRY4-IT1 knockdown significantly reduced tumour volume after 30 days. (C) SPRY4-IT1 knockdown significantly reduced tumour weight \textit{in vivo}. (D) SPRY4-IT1 levels were significantly lower in the shSPRY4-IT1 xenograft tumour tissues compared with the scrambled control xenograft tumours. (E) miR-101 levels were significantly increased in shSPRY4-IT1 xenograft tumour tissues compared with the scrambled control tumours. (F) ZEB1 mRNA expression levels were significantly lower in the shSPRY4-IT1 xenograft tumour tissues containing shSPRY4-IT1 compared with the scrambled control tumours. (G) ZEB2 mRNA expression levels were significantly lower in shSPRY4-IT1 xenograft tumour tissues compared with the scrambled control tumours. (H and I) ZEB1 and ZEB2 protein expression levels were significantly lower, whereas E-cadherin protein levels were significantly higher, in the shSPRY4-IT1 xenograft tumour tissues compared with the scrambled control tumours. Data are presented as the mean ± standard deviation of three independent experiments. *P<0.05, **P<0.01. SPRY4-IT1, sprouty receptor tyrosine kinase signalling antagonist 4-intronic transcript 1; miR, microRNA; OS, osteosarcoma; sh, short hairpin; NC, negative control; ZEB, zinc finger E-box-binding homeoxes.
by co-transfection with the miR-101 inhibitor. Together with the dual-luciferase assays showing that ZEB1 and ZEB2 were target genes of miR-101, it was concluded that knockdown of SPRY4-IT1 de-repressed miR-101, leading to the degradation of ZEB1/2. Previously, the expression levels of ZEB1 and ZEB2 were shown to be positively associated with metastatic status in patients with OS (5,35), whereas knockdown of ZEB1 was sufficient to reduce the invasive capacity of OS cells (35).

Other published studies also suggested that miR-101 inhibited proliferation, migration and invasion in osteosarcoma cells by targeting ROCK1 or ZEB2 (36,37). However, the present study primarily focused on the SPRY4-IT1/miR-101/ZEB1 or ZEB2 axis in osteosarcoma progression, which have not been reported on by others before, to the best of our knowledge. From a mechanistic perspective, the EMT-modulating functions of ZEBs may contribute to the anti-OS actions of ZEBs (38). For example, it has been demonstrated that ZEB1 can directly bind to the promoter of CDH1, resulting in the suppression of E-cadherin (product of CDH1) and thus, subsequent induction of EMT (6). In this regard, miR-101 overexpression anticancer actions in vitro may be attributed to reversal of E-cadherin suppression as ZEB1/2 was downregulated.

OS cells which stably expressed SPRY4-IT1 shRNA exhibited significantly lower growth rates in the OS xenograft models. Expression levels of miR-101 were increased in the shSPRY4-IT1 xenograft tumour tissues. Accordingly, the mRNA and protein expression levels of ZEB1 and ZEB2, the target genes of miR-101, were reduced in the SPRY4-IT1 knockdown tumour tissues, and this was accompanied by upregulation of E-cadherin expression in vivo. Therefore, it was concluded that elevated SPRY4-IT1 contributed to the decrease in miR-101 levels in OS cells. The interaction between SPRY4-IT1 and miR-101 then disrupted the inhibition of EMT by upregulating ZEB1 and ZEB2, leading to dysregulated cell growth, migration and invasion.

Although the data in the present study suggested that targeting the SPRY4-IT1/miR-101/ZEBs axis could be a promising approach for the treatment of OS, there were certain limitations. Firstly, despite the data providing evidence that SPRY4-IT1 could interact with miR-101, an RNA pull-down assay, which was not included in the current study, would further strengthen this conclusion. Secondly, the associations between gene expression levels (such as SPRY4-IT1 and miR-101) and the clinical characteristics of patients (such as tumour stage and metastatic status) have not yet been examined, thus, the clinical significance of the dysregulation of this signalling axis is unknown. Future studies on the associations are required and would potentially provide insight into stage-specific therapy. Finally, the mechanism by which SPRY4-IT1 was dysregulated was not investigated in the present study. The upstream regulators of SPRY4-IT1, such as transcription factors, are more likely to be targeted by small molecule chemicals, which are clinically more feasible than shRNA oligos. Future studies on addressing these limitations may demonstrate the clinical significance of SPRY4-IT1/miR-101.

In conclusion, the present study identified a novel SPRY4-IT1/miR-101/ZEBs axis underlying the tumorigenesis of OS, to the best of our knowledge. SPRY4-IT1 may act as a ceRNA to sequester miR-101 in OS as inhibition of SPRY4-IT1 increased function of miR-101, which in turn caused degradation of ZEBs, leading to decreased cell growth, migration and invasion of OS cells. Additionally, the association between SPRY4-IT1 and miR-101 have not been examined in OS previously and the present study is the first to have studied two direct targets (ZEB1 and ZEB2) of miR-101 in OS.
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